

File No. MoES/ 29/111/2013-RTI

Government of India  
Ministry of Earth Sciences

Prithvi Bhavan, IMD Campus  
Lodhi Road, New Delhi  
Dated 20.12.2013

31

To,

1. Dr. A Pandey,  
Qtr V-3, NCAOR Campus, Headland Sada  
Vasco d agama, Goa-403804

2. CPIO, MoES, Prithvi Bhavan  
Lodhi Road, New Delhi

**Subject: RTI Appeal No. MOOCD/A/2013/60001 Dated 19.11.2013**

On dated 08.10.2013, Dr. A Pandey filed an RTI application to CPIO, MoES seeking information on following points:

1. Has even a fact finding committee been constituted by MoES pertaining to an employee of its autonomous centres. If yes please provide detail of each such fact finding committee under the following heads date of complaints received, date of constitution of the committee and members and chairperson of the committee.
2. Please provide copies of the rules of procedure regarding constitution of fact finding committee in the MoES.
3. Kindly inform if a copy of the OM (Official Memorandum) pertaining to the constitution of a fact finding committee by MoES from time to time is conveyed to employee concerned.
4. Please provide copies of the OMs regarding constitution of fact finding committee(s) by MoEs till date.
5. Please provide copies of all the file notings and approvals of every fact finding committee which has been constituted by MoES till date.

The applicant was replied vide letter no. MoES/29/111/2013-RTI Dated 05.11.2013. The information on point no. 1 to 3 was provided as below:

*On receipt of communication from NCAOR, GOA prime facie there appeared to be some serious discrepancies in respect of work experiences furnished by Dr. Anju Pandey in her application for employment at different time. A Fact finding*

(2)

committee was constituted vide OM No. MoES/27/3/2013-Estt. Dated 01.05.2013.

In respect of point no. 4 & 5 applicant was requested to deposit 4/- for 2 pages.

The fee was deposited by applicant vide letter dated 20.11.2013, for which she was supplied information of point no. 4 & 5 ( 2 pages) vide MoES Letter no. MoES/29/111/2013-RTI Dated 29.11.2013.

#### Appeal Portion

1. Having aggrieved by CPIO reply dated 05.11.2013( in which information related to point no. 1&3) was provided and fee for point no. 4&5 was asked), Dr. Anju Pandey filed first appeal to Dy. Secretary and Appellate Authority on dated 19.11.2013, in which she was not satisfied with the information supplied by CPIO for point no. 1 to 3.
2. Basically applicant requested information related to fact finding committees constituted by MoES/ official memorandums on the topic / communications of such committees to employees concerned. But she was supplied information related to her own case. Details related to other fact finding committees/ rules position etc. were perhaps not replied to applicant.
3. It is also be noted that if it appears that limited resources available with office will be completely diverted for making the information as per format of application, the information available in its present form may be supplied.

#### Decision

I ve gone through the matter carefully and in my considered opinion applicant may be provided point wise reply of point no. 1 to 3 ( since her appeal is limited to these points and she deposited fee on 20.11.2013 i.e. after the appeal dated 19.11.2013). She has the liberty to file another appeal on point no. 4 & 5 within 30 days from receipt of letter dated 29.11.2013. If it appears that complete resource diversion will take place for making the information in format of applicant, the information in present form may be supplied.

The information may be supplied with 20 days of receipt of this letter.

  
M.K. Bansal  
Director ( ICC ) & FAA