30

File No. MoES/ 29/118/2013-RTI
Government of India
Ministry of Earth Sciences

Prithvi Bhavan, IMD Campus
Lodhi Road, New Delhi
Dated 07.02.2014

To,

1. **Sh. Telson Naronha,**
   27/2013 (A), Delwin Cottage, Opp. Shipyard Qtrs.,
   (CISF), Varghese Thittel Road, Cheriakadavanthra,
   P.O.cochin-682020 (Kerala)

2. **Sh. B.K.Thakur,**
   CPIO MoES

Sub: First Appeal by Sh. Telson Naronha under RTI Act 2005

A. Brief Fact:

From the perusal of the concerned file following facts are noted:

Sh. Telson Naronha vide his RTI application dated 09.12.2013, sought some information related to promotion of Sh. V. Ramanathan, scientist B of ICMAM Chennai. He sought information from 3(i) to 3(iv) in his application dated 09.12.2013. He was replied on dated 07.01.2014 vide MoES letter no. MoEs/29/149/2013-RTI. Now, vide his application dated 29.01.2014 (received in the office on 03.02.2014), he preferred first appeal against non-supply of information for point no. 3(ii) of his original application. He did not appeal for information related to point no. 3(i), 3(iii) & 3(iv) of his application. Point 3(ii) of his application dated 09.12.2013 is reproduced as below:

3(ii)- The *above notification on promotion of Sh. V. Ramanathan from the post of scientist B to scientist C was kept in abeyance by the Ministry of Earth Science vide office order No. MoES/19/2/2010 Estt. 27.12.2012. Further, Sh.V.Ramanathan, Scientist B was issued with another order withdrawing the promotion kept in abeyance, thereby Sh. V.Ramanathan was promoted to the post of Scientist C.* ***Kindly provide a copy of the office order/ notification/any other official document whereby the promotion kept in abeyance was withdrawn and the promotion was awarded to Sh. V. Ramanathan as Scientist C ICMAM.***

In response to this query, he was supplied the OM dated 27.12.2012 by Estt. Wing MoES vide which the promotion was kept in abeyance.

.....Contd...

O/C

7-2-14

29

B. Order

(i) I have gone through the content of the appeal carefully, and find that applicant was seeking the information in 3(ii) related to office order etc. vide which the promotion which was kept in abeyance was withdrawn and Sh. V.Ramanathan was promoted as Scientist C, ICMAM, however, he was supplied the information which was related to keeping promotion in abeyance.

(ii) I don't see any reason to withhold the information. It may be due to any judgemental error in reading the text of the query also. Therefore, it is instructed that CPIO may supply the copy of letter/ note sheet vide which the promotion which was kept in abeyance was withdrawn and Sh. V.Ramanathan was promoted as Scientist C, ICMAM.

(iii) Since, 30 days for providing the information as per RTI Act 2005 has already elapsed, this information may be supplied free of cost.

(iv) Appeal Disposed off.

07/02/2014

M.K.Bansal
Director (ICC) & First Appellate Authority